RICHARD W. PIERCE

For Kan Pacific Saipan, Ltd.; Arenas Enterprises, Inc.; Commonwealth Pacific International, Inc.; Full House, Inc.; and Ta Bun Kuy

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS 3 4 SAIPAN HANDICRAFT, 5 Civil Action No. 05-0040 Plaintiff, 6 vs. 7 ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION MICRONESIAN WOODCRAFT ENT., INC. FOR EXPANDED PRELIMINARY 8 INJUNCTION ET AL., 9 Defendants. 10 11 12 Plaintiff's Motion for Expanded Preliminary Injunction came on for hearing at 13 9:30 a.m., May 19, 2006. Plaintiff's was represented by F. Matthew Smith. Appearing 14 defendants were: LK Corporation, d.b.a. Rota Handicraft, and Lee Byung Deuk, 15 represented by Edward Manibusan; Tirso Adriatico and Micronesian Woodcraft 16 Enterprises, Inc., represented by Danilo T. Aguilar; and Kan Pacific Saipan, Ltd., Arenas 17 18 Enterprises, Inc., Commonwealth Pacific International, Inc., Full House, Inc., and Ta Bun 19 Kuy, represented by Richard W. Pierce. 20 Based upon the non-objection of the appearing Defendants, this Court's Order of 21 January 17, 2006, which granted in part and denied in part Plaintiff's first motion for a 22 preliminary injunction, is extended to the appearing Defendants. 23 Plaintiff's instant motion to extend the scope of the January 17, 2006, preliminary 24

Plaintiff's instant motion to extend the scope of the January 17, 2006, preliminary injunction to certain words and features of its Bo Jo Bo wishing doll is identical to the scope of the injunction sought by the Plaintiff and denied by the Court on January 17, 2006. Specifically, Plaintiff sought to enjoin, preliminarily, the use of the phrase "Bo Jo

28

25

26

27

1 Bo Wishing Doll," and the "Legend of the Bo Jo Bo Wishing Doll," and the features of a 2 white mouth, red nose, black eyes, blond hair, and a colourful shaped skirt with tied 3 string. Plaintiff's latest motion was, in essence, a motion for reconsideration of this Court's January 17, 2006, Order, and the motion is therefore denied as untimely. Even 5 were the motion timely, the Court neither heard nor read any new or additional evidence 7 or argument that would lead this Court to alter its prior decision. Therefore, the motion is 8 also denied on that basis. IT IS SO ORDERED this day of May, 2006. 10 11 ALEX R. MUNSON **JUDGE** 12 Submitted by: Richard W. Pierce Counsel for Kan Pacific Saipan Ltd., Arenas Enterprises, Inc., Commonwealth Pacific 15 International, Inc., Full House, Inc., and Ta Bun Kuy 16 Agreed as to Form: 17

F. Mathew Smith Counsel for Plaintiff

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28